289. Chemical Selectivities Disguised by Mass Diffusion. V. Mixing-Disguised *Azo* **Coupling**

6th Communication on the Selectivity of Chemical Processes')

by **John R. Bourne, Eros Crivelli** and **Paul Rys**

Technisch-Chemisches Labaratorium Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, CH-8092 Zürich

Zum ehrenden Andenken an Prof. Dr. **H.** Hopff

(24.VIII.77)

Summary

The results of this study show that, for given initial and boundary conditions, four parameters are sufficient to describe the final product distribution of azo coupling reactions influenced by mixing. This is in agreement with the prediction of the mixing-reaction model developed previously [4] *[5].* In order to explain the pH-dependence of the measured mixing-disguised product distribution, it is necessary to assume that a selectivity-determining, local pH-gradient exists even though the solution is macroscopically buffered.

1. Introduction. - **A** knowledge of the influence of mixing on the behaviour of a chemical process is of decisive importance in the control and optimization of the distribution of the products formed. In previous parts [4] [5] of this series criteria have been derived which allow an appraisal of a possible interplay between the diffusive flow occurring during the mixing process and the product distribution in chemical reactions. These criteria have been employed to interpret successfully the observed substrate selectivity in fast reactions such as nitration of aromatic compounds with nitronium salts in nitromethane [11 *[6].*

In the present study further evidence for the general validity of these criteria is presented from an analysis of a mixing-disguised azo coupling. Some coupling components, for example 1-naphthol, 1-naphthylamine, resorcinol or 1,8dihydroxy-4-sulfonaphthalene, have more than one reactive position available for azo coupling. **As** a consequence, polyazo compounds can be formed in a competitive, consecutive reaction. Whether or not the yield of these compounds is influenced by the mixing process depends on the ratio of the relaxation times of the mixing to the bond-making and bond-breaking events.

As early as **1891,** *Noelting* & *Grundmougin* [7] reported that in the azo coupling reaction of equimolar amounts of 1 -naphthol and diazotized 4-chloroaniline an

I) 4th (Part IV) and 5th Communication *cf:* [l] and [2], respectively.

^{*)} Results taken from the PhD. thesis of *E. Crivelli* **[3].**

appreciable amount of disazo compound is obtained. Particularly under alkaline coupling conditions **[S],** this amount is much higher than would be expected from the ratio of the intrinsic rate constants for the primary and the secondary substitution steps. For highly reactive diazonium ions, such as the 4-nitrophenyldiazonium ion, the values of these rate constants approach those of encounter controlled reactions [9]. Despite the great industrial importance of azo coupling reactions, only a few studies have appeared [101 in which the possibility of a mixingdependent product distribution is mentioned.

2. The Model Reaction and its Characteristics. - 2.1. *The System of Competitive Azo Coupling Reactions.* To demonstrate the disguise of chemical selectivities by mixing we chose as a model reaction the azo coupling of 1-naphtliol-6-sulfonic acid with phenyldiazonium ion *(Scheme I):*

Following the nomenclature in Part I [4] and Part **I11** *[5]:*

Reactant *A* 1-Naphthol-6-sulfonic acid;

Reactant *B* Phenyldiazonium ion;

Product *R,o* o-Monoazo product: **2-Phenylazo-1-naphthol-6-sulfonic** acid;

Product *R,p p*-Monoazo product: 4-Phenylazo-1-naphthol-6-sulfonic acid;

Product **S** Disazo product: **2,4-Bis(phenylazo)-l-naphthol-6-sulfonic** acid

For the purpose of rationalizing the possible influence of mixing on the product distribution, it is convenient to subdivide this model reaction *(Scheme 1)* into various competitive events: First, two isomeric monoazo products R , o and R , p are formed. The relative rate of formation is given by the positional selectivity and therefore, in general, will not be influenced³) by the diffusion rate of the reactants A and B [4] [11]. Second, formation of the disazo product occurs and the product ratios $[S]/[R, o]$ and $[S]/[R, p]$ will be given by the substrate selectivity and can therefore be diffusion-dependent. The fact that this reaction system consists of a superposition of two mixing-disguised, competitive, consecutive reactions renders its representation by a mathematical model rather complicated.

Before discussing the simplifications which have to be made (Section *2.3),* we will consider the intrinsic behaviour of the model reaction not disguised by the mixing process.

2.2. The Intrinsic Kinetics *of* the *Azo* Coupling. Investigations of Bartlett [12], Pütter [13] and Zollinger [14] have shown that in azo coupling reactions with naphthols the reacting species are the naphtholate ion and the diazonium ion. The bond-making and bond-breaking events are commonly formulated as depicted in Scheme 2 for the primary para-coupling in the reaction system under investigation. Similar mechanistic considerations apply also for the secondary coupling. In this case ArO^{\ominus} is understood to be the naphtholate anion of R.

If the steady-state approximation

$$
\left| \frac{d[Complex]}{dt} \right| \ll k_1[ArO^{\ominus}][ArN_2^{\oplus}]
$$
 (1)

applies, the coupling rate can be expressed as:

$$
r = \frac{k_1 \sum_{i} k_{\text{II},i} \text{ [base]} }{k_{-1} + \sum_{i} k_{\text{II},i} \text{ [base]} } [ArO^{\ominus}] [ArN_2^{\oplus}]
$$
(2)

- *r [ArOO]* $[ArN_2^{\oplus}]$ [base_i] $k_{\rm I}, k_{\rm II}, k_{\rm II,i}$ molar rate of production of the azo product $[M s^{-1}]$; concentration of the naphtholate anion *[MI;* concentration of the phenyldiazonium ion *[MI;* concentration of the base i *[MI;* intrinsic *n*-order rate constants $[M^{1-n}s^{-1}]$.
- 3, This holds only if both monoazo products are formed from the same reacting species, that is from the naphtholate anion and the phenyldiazonium ion, and if the reactions have the same kinetic order and molecularity.

Assuming that the acid-base equilibria of the reacting species are established at a much faster rate than the substitution reaction occurs, we can rewrite equation (2) in terms of the total concentrations of the reactants present. For this purpose the following expressions have to be considered:

$$
[ArOH]_{\text{tot}} = [ArOH] + [ArO^{\ominus}] \tag{3}
$$

$$
K_{OH} = [ArO^{\ominus}][H^{\oplus}]/[ArOH] \tag{4}
$$

$$
[ArN_{2}^{\oplus}]_{\text{tot}} = [ArN_{2}^{\oplus}] + [ArN_{2}OH] + [ArN_{2}O^{\ominus}]
$$
 (5)

$$
K_1 = [ArN_2OH][H^{\oplus}]/[ArN_2^{\oplus}] \tag{6}
$$

$$
K_2 = [ArN_2O^{\ominus}][H^{\oplus}]/[ArN_2OH] \tag{7}
$$

As $K_1 < K_2$, the concentration of the phenyldiazohydroxide can be neglected $([ArN₂OH] \approx 0)$. One obtains then

$$
\mathbf{r} = k \left[ArOH \right]_{\text{tot}} \left[ArN_2^{\oplus} \right]_{\text{tot}} \tag{8a}
$$

$$
k = \frac{k_1 \sum_{i} k_{\text{II},i} \text{ [base]} }{k_{-1} + \sum_{i} k_{\text{II},i} \text{ [base]} } \left(\frac{K_{OH}}{K_{OH} + [H^{\oplus}]^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{[H^{\oplus}]^{2}}{K_{1} K_{2} + [H^{\oplus}]^{2}} \right)
$$
(8b)

k experimentally measured second-order rate constant for **a** given constant pH and given, constant concentrations of the bases i $[M^{-1}s^{-1}]$.

According to equation (8b) the rate constants $k_{1,o}$, $k_{1,p}$, $k_{2,o}$ and $k_{2,p}$ can be formulated for the primary (index *I)* and the secondary (index 2) coupling in the *ortho* (index o) and the *para* (index p) positions *(Scheme 1)* using the appropriate acidity constants of the respective coupling components.

Several studies on azo coupling reactions of 1-naphthols [15] have indicated that reaction in the *ortho* as well as in the *para* position can exhibit general base catalysis. From the experimental results presented in Table 3, however, we conclude that in the reaction under study a slight general base catalysis occurs for the primary coupling reaction in the para position only. Thus, for the buffer system used the condition $k_{-1} < \sum k_{\text{II},i}$ [base_i] applies for the primary coupling in the *ortho* position and for both secondary reactions.

2.3. A Simplfied Reaction Scheme. In order to relate the experimental results to a mixing-dependent product distribution, the investigated azo coupling (Scheme *1)* will be approximated by the simplified Scheme 3. The justification for such a simplification follows from the data presented in Table 3: The secondary

> *Scheme 3 k Scheme 3*
 $A + B \xrightarrow{k_1} R$ primary azo coupling $R+B\stackrel{k_2}{\longrightarrow} S$ secondary azo coupling

coupling in the *para* position of *R,o* is only about 4 times faster than the corresponding *ortho* coupling. **As** in the primary coupling approximately 10 times more R, p than R, o is formed⁴), the disazo product arises mainly by the route $A \rightarrow R$, $p \rightarrow S$. Therefore, the following approximations can be made:

$$
k_1 = k_{1,o} + k_{1,p}
$$
 and $k_2 = k_{2,o}$

A comparison of the calculated *intrinsic* (not mixing-disguised) selectivity behaviour of the unmodified *(Scheme* 1) with that of the simplified reaction system *(Scheme* 3) shows a difference in the relative yields X_S of less than 0.1%. The difference is greater, but still very small, $(1%)$, if the rate constant of the primary coupling is assumed to be 100 times smaller, thereby allowing for a possible inhibition by diffusion.

3. The Mixing-Disguised Selectivity Behaviour. - **3.1.** *The Selectivity-Determining Criteria.* In the previous Parts I [4] and **I11** [5] of this series it was demonstrated that the final product distribution observed in second-order, consecutive reactions influenced by the mixing rate is fully described by the four parameters α , E , $\varphi_{B,1}^2$, $\varphi_{B,2}^2$ and the initial and boundary conditions. For consecutive azo coupling reactions these parameters can be derived from equation (8) and application of the procedure described elsewhere [4] [5].

$$
= \frac{V_A}{V_B}; \qquad E = \frac{[A]_0}{[B]_0}
$$
 (9a, b)

$$
\varphi_{B,1}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{R}}^2[B]_0}{D} \frac{k_{1,1} \sum_{i} k_{1,II,i} [\text{base}_i]}{k_{1,-1} + \sum_{i} k_{1,II,i} [\text{base}_i]} \left(\frac{K_{OH,A}}{K_{OH,A} + [H^{\oplus}]}\right) \left(\frac{[H^{\oplus}]^2}{K_1 K_2 + [H^{\oplus}]^2}\right) (9c)
$$

$$
\varphi_{B,2}^2 = \frac{\bar{R}^2[B]_0}{D} \frac{k_{2,1} \sum_{i} k_{2,II,i} [\text{base}_i]}{k_{2,-1} + \sum_{i} k_{2,II,i} [\text{base}_i]} \left(\frac{K_{OH,R}}{K_{OH,R} + [H^{\oplus}]} \right) \left(\frac{[H^{\oplus}]^2}{K_1 K_2 + [H^{\oplus}]^2} \right) (9d)
$$

 V_A , V_B

volume of solution of the coupling component (A) and the diazonium salt (B) , respectively [1];

 $[A]_0 = [ArOH]_{\text{tot},o}$ initial total concentration of the coupling component *(cf.* equation (3)) [M];

 $[B]_0 = [ArN_2^{\oplus}]_{\text{tot},\,\text{o}}$ initial total concentration of the diazonium salt *(cf.* equation (5)) [M];

 α

 $k_{j,1}, k_{j,-1}, k_{j, \text{II},i}$ intrinsic n-order rate constants of the bond-making and bond-breaking events in the primary $(j=1)$ and the secondary $(j=2)$ azo coupling reactions *(cf. Scheme 2)* $\left[M^{1-n}S^{-1}\right]$;

acidity constants of the reactants *A* and R, respectively (defined in equation **(4))** [MI; acidity constants of the diazonium ion (defined in equations (6) and (7)) [M]; mean radius of the eddies *(cf:* **[4]** [5]) [cm]; mean diffusion coefficient of the reactants $[cm^2s^{-1}]$. (For solvents of low viscosity: 10^{-5} -10⁻⁶ cm²s⁻¹). $K_{OH,A}, K_{OH,R}$ K_1,K_2 **R** D

It follows that the pH of the reaction medium will also determine whether or not the mixing process influences the selectivity in azo coupling.

3.2. *The Problem of LocalpH-Gradients.* The fact that in each azo coupling step a proton is released makes it extremely difficult to set up a comprehensive

^{4,} The ratio *R,p/R,o* depends on the buffer system used as the primary azo coupling in the *para* position exhibits a general base catalysis *(Table* 3).

mathematical model for mixing-disguised azo coupling reactions. In order to predict quantitatively the influence of the space- and time-dependent pH-value on the mixing moduli φ_{B}^2 , and φ_{B}^2 , and thus on the product distribution, it would be necessary to consider an additional diffusion-reaction equation for the hydronium ion (for spherical eddies):

$$
\frac{\partial [H^{\oplus}]}{\partial t} = D_H \left(\frac{\partial^2 [H^{\oplus}]}{\partial t^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial [H^{\oplus}]}{\partial r} \right) + r_H \tag{10}
$$

 D_H diffusion coefficient of the hydronium ion cm^2s^{-1}];

 $r_{\rm H}$ molar rate of production of the hydronium ion $[Ms^{-1}]$,

r polar coordinate [cm].

The term r_H contains not only the production rate of the proton, but also the rates of the acid-base equilibria of the reactants and of the buffer species. Furthermore, the concentration gradients of these species are described by their own diffusion-reaction equations, leading to a family of coupled partial differential equations. This formalism is complicated to use in practice and will not be applied in the present study. The problem of the local pH-gradient will only be discussed qualitatively⁵). *Figure 1* and *Table 4* show experimental data which demonstrate the pH-dependent disguise of the product distribution by mixing: At a constant mol-ratio of *A* and *B* ($\alpha E = 2$) and a given macroscopic pH, the relative final yield Xs of the disazo product increases with increasing initial concentration of the diazonium salt. X_s is defined as the fraction of the diazonium salt which has reacted after 100% conversion to the disazo product. The experimental behaviour is in accord with calculated predictions [4] 151. However, what is surprising is the change in product distribution with changing pH: With the help of equation **(9),** the pK-values of the reactants *(Table 1)* and the pH-values of the applied buffer solutions, the ratio of the mixing moduli $\varphi_{B,1}^2$ and $\varphi_{B,2}^2$ can be calculated *(Table 5)*. The experimental results which, for a constant value of k_2 and thus for a constant value of $\varphi_{B,2}^2$, show a decrease of X_s with a decreasing ratio $\varphi_{B,1}^2/\varphi_{B,2}^2$ contradict the calculated predictions [4] *[5].* This contradiction can be rationalized if it is assumed that the effective, local values for $\varphi_{B,2}^2$ are also changing due to the pHgradients in the reaction zone. A further argument for a selectivity-determining local pH-gradient is the increasing ratio of the relative yields of the monoazo products $X_{R,\rho}/X_{R,\rho}$ with decreasing pH of the applied buffer solutions *(Table 4)*. As the pK -value of 2-phenylazo-1-naphthol-6-sulfonic acid (R, o) is higher than that of the *para* isomer the secondary azo coupling reaction in the *para* position is retarded more by a local pH-drop. We plan to investigate this problem further.

3.3. *The Influence of the Stirring Rate on the Selectivity.* The concept of the mixing-reaction model presented previously [4] [5] is based on the assumption that, during the addition of one reactant solution to another, spherical eddies having a limited lifetime are formed. The mean radius **R** of these eddies depends on the turbulence created during the mixing process and can be controlled, for example, by mechanical stirring. It is possible to estimate this parameter $[1]$ $[16]$ from the theory

⁵⁾ **A** quantitative, comprehensive treatment is in preparation

Fig. 1. *pH-Dependence of the Product Distribution in the Mixing-Disguised Azo Coupling of l-Naphthol-6 sulfonic Acid with Phenyldiazonium Salt*

[B]o in M. For the experimental conditions see *Table 4* $-$ **m** – pH = 11.06; $-\bigstar$ - pH = 10.51; $-\bigstar$ - pH = 9.88; $-\bigstar$ - pH = 9.26

 X_S relative yield of the disazo product S at 100% conversion; *u* rate of stirring [rev. min⁻¹]

of turbulence [17]. As \bar{R} appears also in the mixing moduli φ_{R}^2 and φ_{R}^2 , (equation (9)) X_S is expected to depend on the mixing rate. The results in *Figure 2* and *Table* 6 confirm this expectation.

3.4. Comparison of *the Measured and Predicted Product Distributions.* The initial concentrations *[A],* and *[BIo* of 1-naphthol-6-sulfonic acid and phenyldiazonium salt, respectively, as well as the volume ratio *a* of their solutions, are the most easily measurable and experimentally variable quantities in the selectivitydetermining parameters α , E , $\varphi_{B,1}^2$, $\varphi_{B,2}^2$. According to both versions of the diffusionreaction model discussed elsewhere *[5],* these quantities determine fully the final product distribution of mixing-disguised reactions, provided the mixing conditions

Fig. 3. *Comparison of the Experimentally Measured and the Calculated Product Distribution in the Mixing-Disguised Azo Coupling of 1 -Naphthol-6-sulfonic Acid with Phenyldiazonium Salt* $[R]$ *in* **M**

(a) Experimental results, see *Table 7;*

(b) Calculated behaviour assuming mobile *B* and immobile *A*, *R* and *S* (Version I) [4]: $\bar{R}^2/D = 50s$, see *Table* 8;

(c) Calculated behaviour assuming immobile *B* and mobile *A, R* and *S* (Version **11)** *[5]:* **R*/D=** 1 **s,** see *Table* 8

and the temperature are kept constant. Therefore, a comparison of the experimental results with the predicted behaviour calculated with the help of the two different versions is easily possible.

Figure 3a and *Table* 7 summarise the experimental results for a buffer system of $pH = 10.8$. At this pH , effects of local pH -gradients as well as the decomposition of the diazonium ion can be neglected. The calculated reaction behaviour is presented in *Figure 3b* and *3c* and in *Table* 8.

The best agreement of the predicted an the experimental product distribution is obtained by choosing the values 1s and 50s for the ratio \bar{R}^2/D when applying the model versions I1 *[5]* and **I** [4], respectively. A comparison of the data shown in *Figure 3* demonstrates an excellent agreement between the experimental results and the predictions made from the model version **11.**

4. Conclusion. - The product distribution of azo coupling reactions can be influenced by the mixing rate of the reactant solutions. An analysis of the experimental results demonstrates that this influence can be adequately described by our diffusion-reaction model. General criteria can be derived from this model which allow an understanding and control of mixing effects encountered in daily laboratory syntheses. These criteria are also useful for appraising the efficiency of mixing divices and thus the degree of segregation in the reaction vessel. Furthermore, the discussion of the experimental results has also raised the question of the extent to which concentration gradients of the product formed can influence the rate and the selectivity of mixing-disguised chemical transformations. It has been shown that in fast azo coupling reactions appreciable local pH-gradients have to be assumed in order to understand the measured selectivity behaviour. Such pHgradients can occur despite the fact that the macroscopically measured pH remains constant. Their values will depend on the ratio of the relaxation times of diffusion and proton release as well as on the *local* buffer capacities.

We would like to thank the *Ciba-Gezgy AG, Basel* for the partial financial support of this study.

5. Experimental Part. - *General Remarks.* The preparative and the thin layer chromatography were performed on precoated silica gel *(Merck* **PF254** and HF254) and on cellulose *(Schleicher* & *Schuell)* plates. For paper chromatography, pre-washed *Whatman* No. *3* and *Ederol-E* 202 paper was used. The UV./VIS. spectra were determined on a *Beckman-Acta III* spectrophotometer and are reported in $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon)$. The pH-values were measured using a digital pH-meter *(Metrohm,* E 532). For the determination of the intrinsic kinetics, a stopped-flow mixing chamber equiped with a spectrophotometer *(Durrum* Instr. Corp.) was used. In the mixing experiments a stirrer IKA-motor RM **18** *(Janke* and *Kunkel, AG.*) with variable stirring rate was employed.

The detailed, numerical procedure has been described elsewhere [4] [5]. The computer program was written in FORTRAN and the calculations were carried out on a CDC 6400/6500 computer.

Materials. - *Phenyldiazonium Tetrajluoroborate.* The synthesis was performed according to the procedure described elsewhere [181.

I-Naphthol-6-sulfonic Acid. The commercial product was separated from its isomers by paper chromatography using the following solvent system: t-butanol/butanol/ethanol 4:3:3 (v/v). After extraction with methanol the paper impurities were removed by chromatography on aluminium oxide (act. V, *M. Woelm).*

2- *and 4-Phenylazo-l-naphihol-6-sulfonic Acid.* These monoazo compounds were synthesized according to the procedure of *Syz* [19]. The purification was carried out by chromatography on cellulose

plates using the solvent system: butyl acetate/pyridine/ammonia conc./water $30:47:3:20 (v/v)$. - VIS. spectra (water, pH = 10, $[R] = 10^{-6}$ M): *o*-isomer $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 495$ nm (7280); *p*-isomer $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 482$ nm (15840).

2,4-Bis(phenylazo)-l-naphthol-6-sulfonic Acid. This disazo compound was synthesized by the method of Krohn [20]. It was purified by the method used for the monoazo compounds. VIS. spectrum (water, pH = 10, $[S] = 10^{-6}$ M): $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 530$ nm (9630).

Determination of the pK-values: The pK-values of the reactants were determined by the method of Hammett [21].

Determination of the Intrinsic Kinetics: The kinetic measurements were carried out in a stoppedflow mixing chamber connected to a spectrophotometer. The rate of the primary *azo* coupling reaction was measured at λ =490 nm. After the reaction was finished the monoazo products were separated using cellulose plates. The relative rate constants were calculated from the ratio of R , o and R , p . The secondary azo coupling rate was measured at $\lambda = 600$ nm. Of the two reactant solutions only the naphthol solution was buffered. However, both solutions were brought to the same ionic strength of $I = 0.2$ with sodium chloride. The buffer systems used are given in Table 2.

Buffer No.	pH	Composition
	9.26	$[NaHCO_3] = 1.21 \times 10^{-1}$ M $[Na_2CO_1] = 2.64 \times 10^{-2}$ M
2	9.88	[NaHCO ₃] = 8.01×10^{-2} M $[Na_2CO_3] = 4.00 \times 10^{-2}$ M
3	10.51	[NaHCO ₃] = 1.09×10^{-1} M [NaOH] = 9.50×10^{-2} M
4	10.80	$[Na2B4O7 \cdot 10 H2O] = 3.70 \times 10^{-2}$ M [NaOH] = 8.10 \times 10 ⁻² M
	11.06	$[KH_2PO_4] = 1.25 \times 10^{-1}$ M [NaOH] = 7.50×10^{-2} M

Table 2. Composition and pH of the Buffer Systems (22.0°; $I = 0.2$)

Table 3. Rate Constants for the Azo Coupling of I-Naphthol-6-sulfonic Acid with Phenyldiazonium Salt $(22.0^{\circ}; I=0.2)$

The concentrations are initial concentrations before mixing

Index *1:* primary reaction; Index 2: secondary reaction; Index *0:* reaction in ortho position;

Index p : reaction in *para* position

Volume ratio of the reactant solutions: $\mathbf{a} = 1$

Mixing experiments. The same thermostated $(22.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ})$ reaction vessel was used in all experimenb. It consisted of a two-necked **flask** of 50 ml volume and 4.7 cm diameter equiped with a stirrer which had a symmetrical three-wing propeller (height: 1.5 cm, diameter: 1.0 cm). The blades of the stirrer were arrangcd at an angle of 20" with respect to the rotational axis. The rate of stirring could be varied from 150 **to 2000 rev.** min⁻¹. The buffered solution of *A* $(I = 0.2)$ was thermostated at 22.0° in the reaction vessel and the non-buffered solution of $B(1=0.2)$, thermostated at the same temperature. was added by means of a pipette. The pipette outlet had a diameter of 0.02 cm, and the flow rate at the outlet was always 0.1 m/s. After completion of the reaction the products were separated using cellulose plates. The product distribution was then determined spectrophotometrically.

Table 4. *pH-Dependence of the Product Distribution of the Mixing-Disguised Azo Coupling of 1-Naphthol-6-sulfonic Acid with Phenvidiazonium Salt* $(22.0^{\circ}; I = 0.2)$

The concentrations are initial concentrations before mixing. 'The errors are calculated to a confidence level of 95% The concentrations are initial concentrations before mixing. The errors are calculated to a confidence
level of 95%
 $[A]_0 = 10^{-2} M$; Mol-ratio: $\alpha E = 2$; Rate of stirring: $u = 150 \text{ rev. min}^{-1}$

 $\frac{1}{B \ln 2 \times 10^2}$ $\frac{1}{\alpha}$

Buffer No.		$[B]_0 \times 10^2$ a					
	pH	Įм]		$X_{R,o} \times 10^2$	$X_{R,p}$ × 10 ²	$\frac{X_{R,0}}{X_{1}} \times 10^{2} X_{S} \times 10^{2}$ $X_{R_{\perp}p}$	
9.26			$\overline{2}$	7.3 ± 0.3	89.5 ± 1.1	8.1	3.2 ± 0.8
		2.5	5	6.3 ± 1.6	86.5 ± 1.3	7.3	7.2 ± 0.9
		5	10	6.5 ± 0.2	83.3 ± 2.0	7.8	10.2 ± 1.4
		10	20	6.0 ± 0.2	77.0 ± 1.2	7.8	17.0 ± 0.8
		20	40	5.3 ± 0.5	70.0 ± 1.8	7.6	24.5 ± 1.9
$\overline{2}$ 9.88		1	2	6.2 ± 1.0	88.9 ± 0.3	7.0	4.9 ± 1.0
		2.5	5	5.6 ± 1.0	86.4 ± 1.0	6.5	8.0 ± 0.9
		5	10	5.0 ± 0.3	79.6 ± 1.4	6.3	15.4 ± 1.2
		10	20	4.2 ± 0.6	73.7 ± 2.0	5.8	22.1 ± 2.3
		20	40	4.0 ± 0.3	66.0 ± 1.4	6.0	30.0 ± 1.4
10.51 3			$\overline{2}$	5.3 ± 0.5	88.3 ± 1.3	6.0	6.0 ± 1.0
		2.5	5	5.0 ± 0.5	85.9 ± 1.0	5.8	9.1 ± 1.3
		5	10	4.1 ± 0.7	78.8 ± 1.7	5.2	17.1 ± 1.4
		10	20	3.7 ± 0.3	72.7 ± 1.7	5.1	23.6 ± 1.5
		20	40	3.1 ± 0.2	66.1 ± 2.0	4.7	31.0 ± 1.8
5 11.06		1	$\overline{2}$	5.4 ± 0.6	89.0 ± 1.4	6.1	5.6 ± 1.5
		5	10	4.2 ± 0.8	79.8 ± 1.9	5.3	16.0 ± 0.7
		20	40	3.0 ± 0.2	66.6 ± 1.5	4.5	31.4 ± 1.4

 $[A]_0 = 10^{-2}$ M; Mol-ratio: $aE = 2$; Rate of stirring: $u = 150$ rev. min⁻¹

For definitions see equations (8b). *(9c)* and (9d) -. .- - -~ -. .- - - - ___ -. __ _

		Table 5. Calculated pH-Dependence of the Rate Constants in various Buffer Systems For definitions see equations $(8b)$, $(9c)$ and $(9d)$					
Buffer No.	pН	k_1 [M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹]	k_2 [M ⁻¹ _S ⁻¹]	$\varphi_{\rm B,1}^2/\varphi_{\rm B,2}^2$			
	9.26	2.0×10^4	55 X	356			
$\overline{2}$	9.88	5.1×10^4	55.8	920			
3	10.51	8.2×10^4	553	1480			
5	11.06	8.5×10^{4}	493	1720			

Table 6. *The Influence of the Stirring Rate on the Product Distribution* $(22.0^{\circ}; I=0.2)$

The concentrations are initial concentrations before mixing. The errors are calculated to a confidence level of 95%

Volume ratio of the reactant solutions: $\alpha = 40$; Mol-ratio: $\alpha E = 2$; Buffer 2 *(cf.* Table 2): pH = 9.88; $[A]_0 = 10^{-2}$ M; $[B]_0 = 0.2$ M

Table 7. *Product Distribuiion in the Mixing-Disguised Azo Coupling of I-Naphihol-6-suljonic Acid with Phenyldiazonium Salt* (22.0°; I=0.2)

> The concentrations are initial concentrations before mixing The errors are calculated to a confidence level of 95%

Mol-ratio: $\alpha E = 2$; Buffer 4 *(cf. Table 2)*: $pH = 10.80$; Rate of stirring: $u = 150$ rev. min⁻¹

Table 8. *Calculated Product Distribution in a Mixing-Disguised Competitive, Consecutive Second-Order Reaction6)*

The concentrations are initial concentrations before mixing Mol-ratio: $\alpha E = 2$; $k_1 = 10.2 \times 10^4 \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; $k_2 = 55.8 \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$

⁶) The calculated product distribution for other k_1 - and k_2 -values is practically the same as long as k_1/k_2 > 1000.

Appendix

List of Symbols

REFERENCES

- [l] *F. Nubholz* & *P. Rys,* Helv. 60, 2937 (1977).
- [2] *J.R. Bourne, P. Rys* & *K. Surer,* Chem. *Eng.* Sci. 32,711 (1977).
- [3] *E. Crivelli,* PhD. thesis ETH, Zurich 1976.
- [4] *R.J. Ott* & *P. Rys,* Helv. 58,2074 (1975).
- [5] *F. Nubholz, R.J. Oft* & *P. Rys,* Helv. *60,* 2926 (1977).
- *[6] F. Pfister, P. Rys* & *H. Zollinger,* Helv. 58, 2093 (1975).
- [7] *E. Noelting* & *E. Grandmougin,* Bull. SOC. chim. France 873 (1891); **Ber.** deutsch. chem. Ges. *24,* 1601 (1891).
- [8] *E. Bamberger* & *F. Meirnberg,* Ber. deutsch. chem. Ges. 28, 1887 (1895); *H.E. Fierz-David* & *H. Bruetsch,* Helv. *4,* 375 (1921).
- [9] *H. Kropacova, J. Panchartek, V. Sterba* & *K. Valter,* CoU. Czechosl. chem. Commun. 35, 3287 (1970).
- [lo] *B.I. Stepanov* & *L.N. Ogoleva, 2.* org. Chim. *I,* 2083 (1965); 2, 108 (1966); *3,* 371 (1967); *Zh. F. Sergeeva* & *B. I. Stepanov,* ibid. *4,* 638 (1968); *B.* I. *Stepanov* & *L. N. Ogoleva,* 2. ObSE. Chim. *34,* 2074 (1964).
- [11] *P. Rys, Accounts chem. Res. 9, 345 (1976).*
- [12] *R. Wistar* & *P. D. Bartlett,* J. Amer. chem. SOC. *52,* 1220 (1930).
- [13] *R. Putter,* Angew. Chem. *63,* 188 (1951).
- [14] *H. Zollinger,* Helv. *36,* 1723 (1953); *39,* 1600 (1956); *H. Zollinger* & *C. Wittwer,* Helv. *37,* 1954 (1954).
- [15] *S. Kishimoto* & *S. Kitahara,* Nippon Kagaku Kaishi *10,* 1975 (1973); *O.A. Stamm* & *H. Zollinger,* Helv. *40,* 1105 (1957).
- [I61 *J. R. Bourne, U. Moergeli* & *P. Rys,* 'Mixing and Fast Chemical Reaction: Influence of Viscosity on Product Distribution', 2nd European Conference on Mixing, Cambridge, England (1977); *U. Moergeli,* PhD. thesis ETH, Zurich 1976.
- [17] *A.N. Kolmogorofi* C.r. Acad. Sci. USSR *30,* 301 (1941); *L.D. Landau* & *E.M. Lifhitz,* 'Fluid Mechanics', Pergamon Press, Oxford 1959; *J.* 0. *Hinze,* 'Turbulence' McGraw-Hill, New York 1959; *V. W. Uhl* & *J. B. Gray,* 'Mixing: Theory and Practice', Academic Press, New York 1967; *J.* T. *Davies,* 'Turbulence Phenomena', Academic Press, London 1972; *H. Tennekes* & *J. L. Lumley,* 'A First Course in Turbulence', MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. 1972; S. *Nagata,* 'Mixing: Principles and Applications', Wiley, New York 1975; *R.S. Brodkey*, 'Turbulence in Mixing Operations', Academic Press, New York 1975.
- [18] *D. Schulte-Frohlinde* & *H. Blume,* Z. physikal. Chem. (Neue Folge) *59,* 299 (1968).
- [19] *M. G. Syz,* PhD. thesis ETH, Zurich 1964.
- (201 C. *Krohn,* Ber. deutsch. chem. Ges. 21, 3240 (1888).
- [21] *L. P. Hammett* & *M.A. Paul,* J. Amer. chem. SOC. *56,* 827 (1934).
- [22] 0. *Mukitie,* Suomen Kemistilehti B *37,* 17 (1964).
- [23] *O.A. Stamm, A. Zenhausern* & *H. Zollinger,* Chimia *19,* 224 (1965).
- [24] *E. S. Lewis* & *H. Suhr,* Chem. Ber. 91,2350 (1958).